



Universities of Sanctuary

UoSI Evaluation of Application for Re-Accreditation¹

To be completed by Chair of UoSI

Name of institute applying for re-accreditation	
Contact person in institute	

Do the following criteria continue to be met across the whole portfolio of evidence?	
Criteria	Criteria met? Why / why not?
<p>Minimum Criteria</p> <p>Have the minimum criteria specified in the Universities of Sanctuary guidelines² been met, e.g., concerning scholarships, integration projects, UoS principles?</p>	<p>(1: Not at all → 10: Completely)</p> <p>1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10</p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Values and network principles</p> <p>Has the HEI endorsed the University of Sanctuary principles? Is the commitment to the vision of welcome embedded in relevant institute-wide strategic plans and policies and visible for the public to see?</p>	<p>(1: Not at all → 10: Completely)</p> <p>1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10</p> <p>Comments:</p>

¹ Adapted, with thanks, from City of Sanctuary UK evaluation document

² Available on Universities of Sanctuary Ireland website: <https://ireland.cityofsanctuary.org/universities-and-colleges-of-sanctuary>

<p>Staff and Student involvement and awareness</p> <p>Are continuous, meaningful, and effective processes in place to generate awareness of Sanctuary throughout the organisation? Is there evidence of a whole organisational approach to learning about what it means to seek sanctuary?</p>	<p><i>(1: Not at all → 10: Completely)</i></p> <p>1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10</p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Engagement of people seeking sanctuary</p> <p>Is there evidence of meaningful engagement with those who are seeking sanctuary?</p>	<p><i>(1: Not at all → 10: Completely)</i></p> <p>1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10</p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Sustainable commitment</p> <p>Has the organisation demonstrated a sustainable commitment to sanctuary? What evidence is there that this commitment will continue after the award is granted? Is there evidence of ensuring that examples of sanctuary can be sustained through embedding these in policy and procedures and / or relevant organisational development plans?</p>	<p><i>(1: Not at all → 10: Completely)</i></p> <p>1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10</p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Self-evaluation</p> <p>Is there evidence that those at the HEI believe that the institution has completed sufficient work for the award? Has feedback from people seeking sanctuary been taken into account?</p>	<p><i>(1: Not at all → 10: Completely)</i></p> <p>1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10</p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Sharing achievements and learning</p> <p>Is there evidence of sharing achievements either through the Universities of Sanctuary network and / or the organisation's own networks?</p>	<p><i>(1: Not at all → 10: Completely)</i></p> <p>1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10</p> <p>Comments:</p>

Outcome

The re-appraisal panel is unanimous in concluding that the organisation continues to meet the above conditions in full and is thereby re-accredited as a University of Sanctuary for a further period of three years from the date of this award.

Yes

No / not quite

This re-accreditation is subject to specific conditions as detailed below (if none, write N/A):

Further Recommendations

Further recommendations from the appraisal panel (including areas of improvement necessary for applicants who have not yet met all conditions necessary to be re-accredited as a University of Sanctuary).

Date:

Name and signature of lead applicant:

Name and signature of lead member of the re-appraisal panel: